

Federal Courts chronology, Fall 2005. Professor Pollack.

Federalism and Separation of Powers: The Basic Structure

The United States Constitution

Schiavo materials

119 Stat. 15: Relief of the Parents of Theresa Marie Schiavo

Schiavo ex rel. Schindler v. Schiavo (D.C. and C.A. ops.)

Choice of law in the federal system

Federal law in state courts

The power of state courts to hear federal questions

Gulf Offshore Co.

Tafflin v. Levitt

From power to duty

The duty of state court to hear federal questions

Howlett v. Rose

Testa v. Katt

Clafin v. Houseman

The FELA cases

Moundou

Douglas

McKnett

Pitcairn and Mayfield

The anti-commandeering principle

The occasional duty of state courts to apply federal procedures

Johnson v. Fankell

Felder v. Casey

The FELA cases

Dice v. Akron, Canton & Youngstown Railroad Co.

Brown v. Western Ry. Co. of Alabama

Federal claims raised by defendants

Jackson v. Denno

Chapman v. California

Precluding state courts from hearing federal questions

Tarble's Case

McClung v. Silliman

Other suits against federal officials in state courts

Donovan v. Dallas

Amar: Five Views of Federalism: "Converse-1983" in Context

Supreme court review of state court decisions

Adequate and independent state grounds

Murdock revisited

The modern doctrine

Independence of state grounds

Michigan v. Long

Adequacy of state grounds

Bush v. Gore

NAACP v. Alabama ex rel. Patterson

State rules misapplied

Bush v. Gore and Supreme Court review of state law

The power of the federal courts to create federal law

Federal common law

The law governing the rights and duties of the United States

United States v. Little Lake Misere Land Co., Inc.

Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States

Federal or state law

Content of federal law

Borrowing state law: *DeSylva v. Ballentine*

Does it matter how state law applies?

The law governing the rights and duties of private parties

Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.

Suits between private parties

Bank of America v. Parnell

Wallis v. Pan American Petroleum Corp.

Miree v. DeKalb County

Suits against federal officers

Howard v. Lyons

Barr v. Matteo

Westfall v. Erwin

Statutes of limitations for federal claims

Agency Holding Corp. v. Malley-Duff & Associates

Implied rights of action to enforce federal statutes

Cort v. Ash

J.I. Case v. Borak

Cannon v. University of Chicago

The majority

The Powell dissent

Post-*Cannon* restrictions

Less restrictive decisions

Choice of remedies to enforce implied rights

42 U.S.C § 1983: a comparison

Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District

Jackson v. Birmingham Board of Education

Rights of action for the United States

United States v. Hudson and Goodwin

United States v. Standard Oil

United States v. Gilman

Rights of action to enforce constitutional rights

Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents

Private rights of action after *Bivens*

Schweiker v. Chilicky

Cases creating *Bivens* actions in other contexts

Davis v. Passman

Carlson v. Green

Cases denying *Bivens* actions in other contexts

Bush v. Lucas

United States v. Stanley

Correctional Services Corp. v. Malesko

Customary international law

Filartiga v. Pena-Irala

What is “customary international law”?

Customary international law as federal common law

Bradley and Goldsmith: the attack on conventional wisdom

The Koh response

The Bradley-Goldsmith rejoinder

The juvenile death penalty

Alvarez-Machain v. United States

Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain

Congressional control of the federal courts

The power to limit federal jurisdiction

The constitutional text

Analysis

The Supreme Court

The lower courts

Unfavorable congressional reaction to Supreme Court decisions

Marbury v. Madison

A testing hypothetical: abortion

Congressional power to curtail the jurisdiction of state courts

The traditional view of congressional power to limit the jurisdiction of federal courts

Ex Parte McCordle

The source of Supreme Court jurisdiction

The significance of *McCordle*

Congressional power over the jurisdiction of the lower federal courts: *Sheldon v. Sill*

Webster v. Doe

Competing views of congressional power to limit the jurisdiction of the federal courts

Justice Story

The essential functions thesis

Independent unconstitutionality

Due process: access to courts

Discrimination against constitutional claims

Institutional characteristics of state and federal courts

The power to expand federal jurisdiction

Congressional authority to confer federal question jurisdiction on the district courts

Osborn v. Bank of the United States

The concept of protective jurisdiction

The constitutionality of protective jurisdiction

Textile Workers Union v. Lincoln Mills

Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank of Nigeria

Modern variations: consumer protection and nuclear power

Mesa v. California

Gutierrez de Martinez v. Lamagno

National Mutual Insurance Co. v. Tidewater Transfer Co.

Schiavo materials

The power to reopen final judgments

Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm, Inc.

Miller v. French

The power to create non-Article III courts

Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.

The rationale

Vindication of the text

Separation of powers

Fairness to litigants

Federalism

The aftermath

Additional non-Article III adjudication materials

Thomas v. Union Carbide Agricultural Products

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Schor

Administrative agencies: the example of the NLRB

Internal delegation of judicial authority: U.S. magistrates

The Magistrates Act of 1968

The 1976 amendments

United States v. Raddatz

The 1979 Magistrates Act

The 1983 amendments to Rule 53

Limitations on the use of magistrates

Implied consent to trial by magistrate

Justiciability

Standing

Standing as a constitutional requirement

Allen v. Wright

The requirement of injury: *Schlesinger v. Reservists Cmte.*

The requirements of causation and redressability: *Warth*

Duke Power

Taxpayer standing

Frothingham v. Mellon

Flast v. Cohen

United States v. Richardson
Valley Forge

The majority

The dissent

Statutory standing

Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife

The “citizen suit” provision

Friends of the Earth v. Laidlaw

Raines v. Byrd

Elk Grove United School District v. Newdow

Related doctrines

Third-party standing

Singleton v. Wulff

The third party's ability to raise his or her own rights

Barrows v. Jackson

Eisenstadt v. Baird

Relationship between litigant and third party

Dilution of third-party rights

The overbreadth doctrine

Ripeness

Poe v. Ullman

Relation to standing

Ripeness in context

Ripeness in declaratory judgments: *Aetna Life Ins. v. Haworth*

United Public Workers v. Mitchell

Ripeness and standing revisited: *Duke Power*

Ripeness in structural reform litigation

O'Shea v. Littleton

Los Angeles v. Lyons

Ripeness in the administrative state: related doctrines

Mootness

Voluntary cessation of illegal activity

Controversies “capable of repetition, yet evading review”

Honig v. Doe

City of Erie v. Pap's Kandyland

DeFunis v. Odegaard

Mootness in class actions

United States Parole Commission v. Geraghty

Sosna v. Iowa

Franks v. Bowman Transportation Co.

Deposit Guaranty National Bank v. Roper

The Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts

Subject matter jurisdiction

Finality and appellate review

Appellate review of final decisions

Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay

The “collateral order” doctrine: *Cohen*

Gillespie v. United States Steel Corp.

Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. v. Risjord

Moses H. Cone Memorial Hospital

Supreme Court review of cases in the courts of appeals

Alternative forms of circuit court review of district court judgments

Interlocutory review

Rule 54(b)

Mandamus

Gulfstream Aerospace Corp. v. Mayacamas Corp.

Appeal under § 1291

Appeal under § 1292(a)(1)

Mandamus

Supreme Court review of final decisions by state courts

Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn

Federal issue conclusive or outcome preordained

Federal issue will survive anyway

Federal issue cannot be reviewed later

Later review would seriously erode federal policy

The dissent

Southland Corp. v. Keating

Hathorn v. Lovorn

Fort Wayne Books, Inc. v. Indiana

Technical aspects of Supreme Court review of state decisions

Initiating review

The meaning of summary disposition of appeals

Decision by the “highest state court”

Federal question raised properly, preserved, and decided

The relevance of federal rules of standing: *ASARCO*

Abstention

General principles

Concurrent litigations in state and federal courts

Colorado River Water Conservation District v. United States

The starting point

Recognized exceptions

Declaratory judgments

Burford abstention

A confusing interlude

Mashuda

Thibodaux

Kaiser Steel Corp. v. W.S. Ranch Co.

Quackenbush v. Allstate Ins. Co.

The *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine: *Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic*

Schiavo materials

Pullman abstention

Railroad Commission of Texas v. Pullman Co.

Siler v. Louisville & Nashville R. Co.

Wisconsin v. Constantineau

Administration of *Pullman* abstention

Government Employees v. Windsor

England v. Louisiana State Board of Medical Examiners

Harris County Commissioners v. Moore

Younger abstention

Origins

Federal injunctions against state criminal proceedings

Douglas v. City of Jeannette

Dombrowski v. Pfister

Effect of allegation of overbreadth

Effect of allegation of harassment

Disposition

Resurrection of invalidated statute

The Harlan dissent

Younger v. Harris

Boyle v. Landry

Samuels v. Mackell

Perez v. Ledesma

The policies of *Younger*

Ex parte Royall

The *Younger* exceptions

Relevance of the anti-injunction statute to *Younger* abstention

Mitchum v. Foster

Criminal proceedings

Steffel v. Thompson

Declaratory and equitable relief after *Steffel*

Hicks v. Miranda

Doran v. Salem Inn

The relevance of state appellate proceedings

Wooley v. Maynard

Civil proceedings

Trainor v. Hernandez

Huffman v. Pursue, Ltd.

Juidice v. Vail

New Orleans Public Service, Inc.

Moore v. Sims

The majority

The dissent

Application of *Younger* to administrative proceedings

Middlesex County
Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff

Anti-injunction acts

Atlantic Coast Line Railroad Co. v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engrs.

Toucey v. New York Life Ins. Co.

Early litigation under the 1948 revision

Capital Service

Amalgamated Clothing Workers

Leiter Minerals

NLRB v. Nash-Finch Co.

The statutory exceptions

Mitchum v. Foster

Vendo Co. v. Lektro-Vend Corp.

Parsons Steel, Inc. v. First Alabama Bank

Hibbs v. Winn

Schiavo materials

Federal Court Enforcement of Federal Rights

42 U.S.C. § 1983

“Under color of” law

Monroe v. Pape

Background

The mindset of *The Civil Rights Cases*

Home Telephone & Telegraph

Jurisdiction over civil rights actions

Impact of *Monroe v. Pape*

Other civil rights acts

Reconstruction legislation

The Act of 1866

The Act of 1870

The Act of 1871

The Act of 1875

Successor provisions

42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1982

42 U.S.C. § 1985(3)

18 U.S.C. § 241

18 U.S.C. § 242

Official immunities

Immunity from award of damages

Scheuer v. Rhodes

The meaning of absolute immunity

The meaning of qualified immunity

Wood v. Strickland

Harlow v. Fitzgerald

Crawford-El v. Britton

Anderson v. Creighton

Unnecessary merits adjudication

Appealability of immunity denials: *Mitchell v. Forsyth*

The limits of *Mitchell*: *Johnson v. Jones*

Analogous immunities for federal officials

“Clearly established law”

Brosseau v. Haugen

Required similarity between challenged conduct and prior precedent: *Hope v. Pelzer*

Unintended effects of the *Saucier* sequencing requirement:

Bunting v. Mellen

Damages immunity and the goals of § 1983

Compensation

Deterrence

Qualified vs. absolute immunity

Affirmation of rights

Immunity from prospective relief

Governmental liability

Mondell v. Department of Social Services

State as “person” under § 1983: *Will v. Michigan Dept. of State Police*

State official as “person when acting in official capacity”: *Hafer v. Melo*

Judicial methodology under § 1983

Owen v. City of Independence

The due process issue

The immunity issue

The significance of fault

Subsequent retroactivity cases

Federal governmental liability

“Official policy”

Pembaur v. City of Cincinnati

City of St. Louis v. Praprotnik

The significance of state law: *McMillan v. Monroe County*

Failure to train as “official policy”

Oklahoma City v. Tuttle

City of Canton v. Harris

For what wrongs?

Constitutional rights enforceable under § 1983

“Liberty” and “property” protected by due process

Paul v. Davis

Siegert v. Gilley

DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dept. of Social Services

“Liberty” and “property” and the relation of state and federal law

County of Sacramento v. Lewis

The role of state law

Parratt v. Taylor

Mental elements of § 1983

Negligent deprivation of due process

The process due

Hudson v. Palmer and intentional wrongdoing

Daniels v. Williams and the meaning of “deprivation”

Davidson v. Cannon

Zinermon v. Burch

Non-constitutional rights enforceable under § 1983

Maine v. Thiboutot

Chapman v. Houston Welfare Rights Org.

National Sea Clammers

Wright v. Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority

Smith v. Robinson

Confusion in the lower courts

Relation between § 1981 and § 1983

Jett v. Dallas ISD

§ 1983 actions to enforce federal preemption of state law

Golden State Transit Corp. v. Los Angeles

§ 1983 actions to enforce negative Commerce Clause claims

Dennis v. Higgins

The interaction of § 1983 and implied rights of action

Gonzaga University v. Doe

City of Rancho Palos Verdes v. Abrams